

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL STEVE COX,

1

Petitioner,

3

3:13-cv-00399-RCJ-WGC

VS.

)

WARDEN BAKER et al.,

2
)

ORDER

Respondent.

2

Petitioner Michael Cox has filed a petition for habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 2254. He has also filed various motions, including a motion for a temporary restraining order

14 | and a preliminary injunction, several motions for appointment of counsel, and several motions

15 | demanding miscellaneous relief. For the most part, neither the Petition nor any of the motions

16 are legible. To the extent they are legible, they are mostly unintelligible. Furthermore, the pub

17 records indicate that Petitioner filed the present Petition before adjudication in the state courts.

¹⁸ The Nevada Supreme Court issued the remittitur after affirming denial of a parallel state court

¹⁹ post-conviction petition on March 11, 2014. Assuming for the sake of argument that the Court

20 now has jurisdiction to entertain the present Petition on the merits, just as if it had initially

21 granted a stay and abeyance, the Court has reviewed the state court decision and found that the

22 Court made no error or unreasonable application of clearly established federal law and did not

²³ Unreasonably determine any facts. See generally *Cox v. State*, No. 63585 (Nev. Feb. 12, 2014).

24 || (Order of Affirmance)

25 | //

CONCLUSION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all pending motions are DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment and close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 20th day of March, 2014.

ROBERT C. JONES
United States District Judge